		1
1	UNREDACTED	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE EASTERN DIVISION	
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )	
7	VS )NO.1:19-cr-10040 )JACKSON, TENNESSEE	
8	JEFF YOUNG )	
9		
10		
11	DETENTION HEARING	
12	VIA FTR RECORDING	
13	APRIL 17, 2019	
14		
15		
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JON A. YORK,	
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21	KRISTI HEASLEY, RPR	
22	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER U.S. COURTHOUSE, SUITE 450 111 SOUTH HIGHLAND AVENUE	
23	JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301	
24		
25		
	UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT	

**APPEARANCES** FOR THE UNITED STATES: JASON KNUTSON, ESQ. U.S. DEPT OF JUSTICE FRAUD SECTION 1400 New York Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530 FOR THE DEFENDANT: CLAIBORNE HAMBRICK FERGUSON, ESQ. THE CLAIBORNE FERGUSON LAW FIRM 294 Washington Avenue Memphis, TN 38103 UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT

		3
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2		
3	JOHN TANKERSLEY	
4		
5	DIRECT BY MR. KNUTSON	16
6	CROSS BY MR. FERGUSON	21
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT	

That gives us a two year period in which the government is not making any allegations that Mr. Young engaged in any criminal activity that has injured or threatened the health and safety of the citizens of the Western District of Tennessee.

And, in fact, I will go straight to what I believe this Court's concern is, which is going to be the ongoing safety of the community, and what conditions have been put in place in these last two years to ensure the safety.

Again, I'm sure the Court has or will read the pre-trial report. Understand that he's been a resident of Jackson for almost forty years. He's not a flight risk.

Again, briefly, Your Honor, not to belabor the point. Two years ago his practice and house was raided by the DEA. He's been through a nursing board hearing on this matter. Been through seizures. And (inaudible) based on these allegations. Had federal cases in this courthouse to return his property from those search warrants.

And so for over two years we've known the facts and circumstances surrounding this case. If he's going to be a flight risk, he had two (inaudible). So again, I think that the Court is correct, the issue is

safety.

2.1

As a part of this ongoing investigation, the local police and Federal agency, joint task force, either made it known or it became known to the Board of Nursing, and several petitions were filed with the nursing board alleging the same and/or similar events that are contained within the indictment that we're here on today.

There is a, almost a year long process in which the Board of Nursing investigated these allegations. They had multiple depositions. We were set to go to trial, we had our experts lined up. Between our side and the attorneys for the state of Tennessee, and the Department, I think it's the Board of Nursing, we entered into a consent decree which, where Mr. Young did not admit any wrongdoing, but agreed that the board — if the (inaudible) had proceeded, it was likely they would have put on evidence as far as a civil nature went there may be grounds in which they would be able to (inaudible) the parties must enter into a consent decree.

The terms and conditions of that consent decree, which was filed and entered on November 2018, about six 6 months ago, five months ago, are controlling on Mr. Young right now. They are restrictive. They are, as the Board of Nursing stated in the order, they are

2.1

designed to protect not only the integrity and verification of all physicians and caregivers in the state of Tennessee from unethical practices of others, but it's also, and more importantly, to protect the health and safety of citizens in the state of Tennessee and another state.

In that order the parties agree that he would be placed on probation for two years. He is, his privilege to practice in any other state is void. So he cannot transfer his license to circumvent the conditions and the stipulations that I'm stating to the Court. He is to surrender his Schedule II prescription, or his ability to write prescription II.

There was also in a — because again — and I would submit — I keep hearing the government talk about Schedule IV. Of course, if you're familiar with the schedules, the farther down you go the less and less danger, the less and less addictive they are.

I'm not really sure why they are skipping (inaudible) II and III, which were the ones that were more addictive and more subject to abuse.

He also surrendered his Schedule III, except for he — and again, based on the agreements that we made with the state of Tennessee, he maintained his privilege to prescribe what we would consider

2.1

testosterone and testosterone like drugs, and also

Tylenol with codeine, which is a controlled substance.

It's in cough syrups that would be normally prescribed —

somebody that had a bad cough would get Tylenol with

codeine.

But what hasn't been addressed here in this Court, and what I think that when you are dealing with healthcare providers and people who understand how to set up and establish safely nets, safety standards, when they (inaudible) allow him to continue to prescribe those limited Schedule III and Schedule IV and Schedule V, that he is not to prescribe more than 30 morphine equivalent daily doses, or what are called meds, (inaudible) meds, as determined and reviewed by the Tennessee Controlled Substance Monitoring Database.

Now somebody has already addressed the TCSMD, which is the statewide database that keeps up with doctors' prescribing habits.

So not only is he being monitored for what he his prescribing. If — he doesn't prescribe it, and can't prescribe whatever he wants to. He is limited in degree and number. And again, that's a condition of the ongoing probation with the Board of Nursing.

He shall demonstrate compliance by providing a quarterly copy of his CSM (inaudible) to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to prescribe to patients.

Board of Nursing. And that he will submit a notice and formulary, how do you spell it, F-O-R-M-U-L-A-R-Y, showing that he has an active supervising physician. And I think this is real key. Your Honor, had some MDs in it -- (inaudible) supervising physicians. Mr. Young is a nurse-practitioner. He has a BS in Nursing. He has a Masters in Nursing. He has had some course work to his doctorate. He can't practice as a nurse practitioner. He cannot prescribe medications without a licensed medical doctor who is his, who is supervising him. Obviously, what we've seen today with some of the doctors that have been in here, that's not foolproof. However, since we have known about this for the last two years, and this is -- now that this is in place, there is no doubt that anybody that is asked to supervise him, supervises Mr. Young, knows of his situation, and knows of the conditions of why it would be very dangerous for them not to take that supervision very seriously. Obviously, they would probably conduct (inaudible). So he has -- and only if he can continue to find doctors that will supervise him will he be able

2.1

THE COURT: Is he currently under the supervision of any MD?

MR. FERGUSON: Yes, he is. He is practicing.

What happened was, is around 2017, at the end of this alleged conspiracy, he was driven out of his practice by competing interests within the practice. He shifted off and moved into a whole different field and is now doing about 80 percent of cosmetic, cosmetic work.

I'm not sure how to say it any better than that. And so his practice has completely changed. He's no longer doing the high volume.

And I'm sure the government is going to get up here and tell you about how many drugs and what his drugs, how many he sold or prescribed and all of this.

But again, I was thinking while I was sitting here, I'm not a baseball fan, but (inaudible) if I got up here and told you, I only hit one time (inaudible) be able to say they hit 10 times, sounds like they're 10 times better than me, but probably (inaudible) hit once in about 100 times — the bottom line, it's an issue of numbers.

He had a very high volume practice. Also led to what ended up being the problem with the board is

that he had really substandard recordkeeping, which is not what you want to be a licensed professional. It would be like an attorney who didn't keep good records. And that goes back to (inaudible).

So we have an issue now where based on that he cannot, he cannot, he cannot prescribe again the Schedule II or the Schedule III. And at the end of the two year supervised probation with the board, once that expires, he still can't prescribe Schedule II drugs. Unless and until he takes certain classes, agrees to certain conditions of having a one year practice monitoring who will make sure he's being compliant and has best record keeping processes in place.

He abides by all the recommendations that that monitor makes. He doesn't have the authority to override the monitor. Whatever the monitor says, goes. And that that monitor is Court ordered, or we should say board ordered that he shall review at least 10 cases every 90 days. That he monitor his cases by Mr. Young. And monitor and review more than that if he feels it is necessary and appropriate.

Again, he also has, the supervising physician has to sign off on a certain number of his records depending on what is done for that patient contact.

2.1

So there is — of course, all the money — so he is unlike anyone else that's been before you today. He has already dealt with and addressed the civil side, not the criminal side. He is currently being monitored. And that monitoring is designed and set up by people in the medical community who know the medical practice, and know the best practices to put in place to supervise him.

The government gets back to the Schedule IV. I don't know if anyone in here could name me all the Schedule IV drugs. It makes no sense to say we want to (inaudible) in all Schedule IV.

The board, through its experts and expertise in this case, and in these facts, and with the knowledge of how best to protect the citizens of Tennessee, have established these as the appropriate grounds to ensure the safety.

And I would ask the Court to incorporate this agreement, or that he maintains his practice in accordance with this as a condition of his release.

Pre-trial has interviewed him. And based on his interview and have heard all their findings, they're recommending a 5,000 unsecured bond.

I think that based on his connections to the community, and again with the agreed order, that he is, has to maintain in order to practice — he has no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

choice not to practice. And if he chooses not to follow that agreement, he won't be practicing. And again, he won't be a threat, and under that agreement he can't be a threat. So I think that should satisfy this Court that conditions are in place to protect the community. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ferguson. Mr. Knutson. Did I get it right that time? MR. KNUTSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Your Honor, there is not going to be an issue with the government parsing through the schedules in this case, because we don't think he should be practicing at all. He shouldn't have a license right now. And he shouldn't be exposing himself to patients in a position of trust, considering all the evidence that the government has against him. Your Honor, we would like to do some of this by proffer, but we also have one short witness that we would like to call. THE COURT: Sure. MR. KNUTSON: And that's John Tankersley. THE COURT: Go ahead and call that witness now. MR. KNUTSON: John Tankersley.

UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHN TANKERSLEY THEREUPON CALLED AS A WITNESS ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT, AND HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CLERK: Please, be seated. BY MR. KNUTSON: 0. Please state your name for the record. A. Sure. John. Last name is Tankersley, that's T-A-N-K-E-R-S-L-E-Y. 0. Who do you work for? The Drug Enforcement Administration. Α. How long have you worked for the DEA? Q. Α. Twenty-one years. Are you aware of an investigation into a Jeff Q. Young? Yes, sir. Α. Q. And did the DEA, in their investigation, speak with many of Jeff Young's former employees? A. They did. Did they speak to -- did they tell the DEA about inappropriate relationships that Mr. Young had with patients? They did. Α. And what did they say?

UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT

- 1 A. Said that Mr. Young had many, many woman that
- 2 | frequented the clinic that he was at. They were coming
- 3 in front door, back door, going straight to his office,
- 4 where they were trading sex for prescribed drugs,
- 5 scheduled drugs.
- 6 Q. Is that true that actually many witnesses said
- 7 that, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And how did those witnesses say they knew that he
- 10 was trading sex for controlled substances?
- 11 A. He would boast about it. And also he had, he would
- 12 show pictures on his phone of the activities, sexual
- 13 activity.
- 14 Q. So he would show pictures to the other employees at
- 15 his office?
- 16 A. That's correct, sir.
- 17 Q. So did DEA, were they able to corroborate those
- 18 statements by the many witnesses?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And did they perform a search warrant on
- 21 Mr. Young's phone?
- 22 A. They did.
- 23 Q. And what did they find on Mr. Young's phone?
- 24 MR. FERGUSON: Judge, I want to object at
- 25 this time. If this is showing that he is a current

danger at this time, we need some dates.

Because if we're talking about the allegations that are contained in the indictment, they are just allegations and they have to be — not for this, I understand, but somewhere down the road they're going to be subject to cross-examination and an investigation. We'll actually have discovery and know about this.

This issue is we're talking about things that happened years ago, I'm assuming, but I've not heard any dates.

And if they're not recent, I'm going to object to the, on the grounds that they're not relevant to his threat to the community at this current time.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule your objection. I think this might be worth (inaudible) the way that Mr. Young engaged with some his patients. I'll let the government clarify (inaudible).

18 BY MR. KNUTSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 19 Q. Isn't it true that the initial search warrant on
- 20 Mr. Young's clinic was on or about January of 2017?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Was the search warrant on the phone on or about
- 23 I that time frame?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And so what was seen on the phone after the DEA

- 1 looked at the phone?
- 2 A. Mr. Young having sexual activities with a lot of
- 3 woman on the phone.
- 4 Q. Okay. And was there one in particular that showed
- 5 that Mr. Young could be a danger to the community?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Can you tell us about that?
- 8 A. There was a young woman that Mr. Young was having
- 9 sex with that appeared to be lifeless, motionless, eyes
- 10 closed.
- 11 Q. And did agents determine who that woman was?
- 12 A. Not at this point, sir.
- 13 Q. Okay. Was that a video of that woman that appeared
- 14 Mr. Young was having sex with?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And also did agents review a patient file for a
- 17 patient AR in this case?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 **0.** I mean HR.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Is that the same HR that's alleged in the
- 22 indictment in Counts 2 through 7?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Did they also have an expert review the file, Jeff
- 25 Young's file of patient HR?

- 1 A. They did.
- 2 Q. And what did that patient file show?
- 3  $\blacksquare$  A. That the young lady was pregnant.
- 4 Q. Okay. Were there several notations in the patient
- 5 | file showing that Mr. Young knew that the young lady was
- 6 pregnant?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. And did it also have, show the prescriptions
- 9 that Mr. Young was prescribing to HR while she was
- 10 pregnant?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Are those reflected in Counts 2 through 7 in the
- 13 indictment, and do they include Oxycodone and
- 14 | Hydrocodone?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. Did the agents investigate what happened to patient
- 17 | HR's baby?
- 18 A. They did.
- 19 Q. What did they find out?
- 20 A. They reviewed the file. They also did a interview
- 21 of her. And came to the conclusion that the baby was,
- 22 suffered from withdrawals from opiate addiction.
- 23 Q. So the baby was born, but it was born addicted to
- 24 opioids. Is that correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 MR. FERGUSON: Move to strike.
- 2 MR. KNUTSON: Your Honor, the Rules of
- 3 Evidence don't apply. Hearsay is admissible in this type
- 4 of hearing.
- 5 THE COURT: I agree that hearsay is
- 6 admissible.
- 7 MR. FERGUSON: Well, it's got to be --
- 8 well, that's fine. Thank you.
- 9 BY MR. FERGUSON:
- 10 Q. Since 2017, have you done any further investigation
- 11 of Jeff Young?
- 12 A. I haven't, sir.
- 13 Q. Are you aware of any other ongoing investigations
- 14 of Jeff Young?
- 15 A. I'm not sure, sir.
- 16 Q. And are you aware of any other criminal activity of
- 17 Jeff Young alleged as a hearsay (inaudible)?
- 18 A. I'm not privy to that, no, sir.
- 19 Q. Were you ever asked by the Board of Nursing for
- 20 your opinion or your information you developed during the
- 21 course of your investigation?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. Did you know there was an ongoing Board of Nursing
- 24 investigation into Mr. Young?
- 25 A. No, sir.

- Q. Are you aware there was a consent order entered
- 2 against Mr. Jeff Young?
- 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 Q. Even though it was in the (inaudible) social media
- 5 in Jackson, passed around through Facebook postings and
- 6 the newspaper and on TV?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Are you (Inaudible)?
- 9 A. I am.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. I was out there, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Were you aware that Hydrocodone is not
- 13 contra-indicated with pregnancy?
- 14 A. Sir? No, sir.
- 15 Q. And you're not suggesting to this Court that a
- 16 prescription of Hydrocodone is contra-indicated to
- 17 pregnancy?
- 18 A. Repeat that, sir.
- 19 Q. You're not suggesting to this Court that a
- 20 prescription of Hydrocodone is contra-indicated during
- 21 pregnancy.
- 22 A. I'm not sure, sir.
- 23 Q. Okay. And Jeff Young has been aware that he's been
- 24 under investigation since 2017?
- 25 A. I'm not sure, sir.

- 1 Q. What was your role in this?
- 2 A. I have been involved with this investigation, but
- 3 in middle Tennessee and east Tennessee area.
- 4 Q. I know. In Jeff Young's investigation, what is
- 5 your role in Jeff Young's investigation?
- 6 A. I have no role in it at all, sir.
- 7  $\blacksquare$  Q. Why are you testifying?
- 8 A. I'm testifying on behalf my fellow agents.
- 9 Q. Okay. Is there a reason why they can't be here
- 10 today to testify as to their personal knowledge?
- 11 A. I have no idea, sir.
- 12 Q. Did you hear what I had to say about Jeff Young and
- 13 all of his knowledge and training he has gone through?
- 14 A. I have, sir.
- 15 Q. Do you have any indication that anything I said is
- 16 not correct or true?
- 17 A. I have no idea.
- 18 Q. Did you hear what I told this Court, and as an
- 19 officer of this Court that I would be subject to this
- 20 Court's at least wrath (inaudible), did you hear what I
- 21 said about the Board of Nursing?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, I heard everything you said.
- 23 MR. KNUTSON: Objection to the relevance
- 24 of whether he heard it or not. I think this is
- 25 repetitive. He's already said that he was one of the

1 main case agents, so I object on those grounds. 2 MR. FERGUSON: Goes to the weight. 3 THE COURT: I agree, Mr. Ferguson. 4 However, the witness answered he hasn't seen the consent 5 order, so --Thank you, Judge. 6 MR. FERGUSON: That's 7 all I have. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Knutson. 9 MR. KNUTSON: Your Honor, I have no 10 further questions for this witness. However, I do have 11 additional evidence to proffer. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Tankersley, you can step 13 down. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 15 MR. KNUTSON: Your Honor, as Mr. 16 Tankersley testified to, the government could put 17 witnesses up there, many of them who are ex-employees of 18 Jeff Young, who would say that he exchanged controlled 19 substances with his patients for sex. And they knew that 20 because he told them. He bragged about it. 2.1 THE COURT: Mr. Knutson, I understand 22 I've taken into consider what the agent just 23 testified to. But I think that goes to the argument that 24 needs to be made to the District Court when it comes to 25 the question of whether he is innocent or guilty of these

charges.

My concern today is simply, does he pose any type of danger to the community if he is released?

MR. KNUTSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And from what Mr. Ferguson has advised the Court, is that there are severe restrictions on Mr. Young's ability to practice medicine right now that apparently was at the satisfaction of the Tennessee Board of Nursing.

I guess I need the government to tell me why the Tennessee Board of Nursing's restrictions are insufficient in this case to protect the public from Mr. Young if he's released on pre-trial release.

MR. KNUTSON: Well, first of all, there was an investigation for a long time and nothing actually was done to restrict his license (inaudible) took down (inaudible).

And as far as the Tennessee Nursing Board, I've read that agreed Court order. It didn't talk about having sex with patients in exchange for controlled substances. It didn't include all the evidence that's been gathered through this investigation.

And it doesn't -- it's not -- it doesn't just go to, well, can he prescribe or see patients?

Because as this case is differentiated from many of those

other cases, I mean, has as all the other stuff, the trinity and all that stuff.

But how it's differentiated is you have like people who are trusting this guy. And he's using his power to prescribe to exploit them. You have a guy that on his phone is in having sex with an unconscious woman.

That doesn't go to, well, is he a good practitioner? Is he going to prescribe the right things? That goes to a guy who is using a position of power that he still has. Yeah, he can't control or prescribe controlled substances to a degree. But using that to exploit people. Right? So he's in a whole different area here.

And that's something that just doesn't go away when you take their license away. That doesn't go away when you take their DEA prescribing rights away.

And you put that in combination with his criminal history the Court has seen, which is violence towards woman. That he has a drinking — well, as several of his former employers would say, he came to the office intoxicated. If we put evidence up there, if we put witnesses up there, he smelled of marijuana and he treated patients in that condition.

So this is a totally different ball game

than just the prescribing. This is a guy also who suffers from depression, and at least one witness that we spoke to was suicidal.

So I think he's a danger to himself also. But he's certainly, with the way he's manipulated people, and the way he's exploited patients, should not be able to practice medicine.

And I guarantee you the board didn't consider all those things when they did something that was pretty extraordinary for the board and didn't allow him to prescribe Schedule II controlled substances and others. That is not in the Court order. These things are not in the Court order.

We have to do something to stop him from exploiting patients again. And I think that's the main reason the government believes that he should be detained, so no else is exploited.

And the government would request that on that basis.

MR. FERGUSON: Your Honor, I have to object to a few things the government just said.

I don't believe he was in the room with us when we were discussions what the board reviewed (inaudible). Everything that (inaudible) two years ago. Everything that the government told you that the board

didn't consider (inaudible) because the government 1 2 (inaudible) stuff, they had no basis in fact. 3 Every bit of that was discussed at the Board of Nursing. (Inaudible). This community is safe 4 5 because the board -- again, it's the board (inaudible) is 6 that the word? That fellow government, state government, 7 kind of give differential respect to each other. 8 Licensing for doctors is a state 9 function -- excuse, me for nurses is a state function. 10 Your job is to ensure the safety. And I'm sure you will 11 do that. 12 I think again safety has been guaranteed 13 by the board. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Ferguson, do you have a 15 copy of that consent decree or the order? 16 MR. FERGUSON: I do, Your Honor. 17 been writing on it. If you would (inaudible). THE COURT: Sure. 18 I'd like to review it. 19 MR. FERGUSON: At the bottom of page four 20 starts the order. 21 (Pause in Proceedings.) 22 THE COURT: For purposes of the record, 23 the Court has reviewed an agreed order that was entered 24 on November 8th, 2018, the state of Tennessee Department 25 of Health before the Tennessee Board of Nursing, Docket

No. 17.19-1517998, styled in the matter of Jeffrey W. 1 2 Young, Jr, RN/APRN respondent. 3 This is an agreed order in which defense 4 counsel has previously referenced in this matter. There 5 was a number of restrictions that was placed on 6 Mr. Young's ability to practice medicine as a nurse 7 practitioner in the state of Tennessee. 8 Mr. Knutson, I assume you have a copy of 9 this order? 10 MR. KNUTSON: I do, Your Honor, but not 11 with me. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Because this isn't a 13 clean copy, I'm not going to make this an exhibit. But I 14 have identified it --15 MR. FERGUSON: It's a public record and on 16 line, so it's easily accessible and can be referenced by, 17 incorporated by reference to the Board of Nursing's website. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. We'll return your 20 marked up copy. Yes. We will make one -- going on to 21 their website and getting (inaudible). 22 Mr. Ferguson, is there any other 23 statements you would like to make at this point? 24 MR. FERGUSON: No, Your Honor. Just that 25 we are hoping the Court will accept the recommendation of

pre-trial.

2.1

THE COURT: Mr. Knutson?

MR. KNUTSON: Your Honor, we would reurge that the evidence has shown that he's a danger to the community, and we ask for you to detain him accordingly.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If my determination was based upon the conduct that was alleged that Mr. Young may have committed that gave rise to this indictment, without question he would be detained for the duration of this matter. But that's not what my inquiry or focus is on. It's whether he's a flight risk or a present danger to the community.

As far as flight risk is concerned, I find that Mr. Young is not a flight risk. He has lived in the Jackson, Tennessee, area for most of his life. He has family here. Has practiced medicine here for a number of years. So I do not find that he's a flight risk.

As far as him being a danger to the community, that's a much closer call. The allegations against Mr. Young's behavior that gave rise to this indictment is quite disturbing.

However, a lot of my concerns are allayed based upon the agreed order that the Board of Nursing has entered into in this case, which has severely restricted

Mr. Young's ability to practice medicine as a nurse practitioner in this community.

I do give credit to the Board of Nursing who investigated this matter. And after their investigation, they found that these were adequate restrictions to place upon Mr. Young to protect the public from him in his capacity as a healthcare provider.

So I am going to deny the motion, the government's motion to detain Mr. Young pending resolution of this matter. And I am going to, as a condition of his release, incorporate the Board of Nursing agreed order and all of those conditions that were placed upon his practice.

I am also going to order that Mr. Young be supervised by pre-trial services. That he continue his employment in accordance with the agreed order from the Board of Nursing.

Does Mr. Young have a passport?

MR. FERGUSON: He does not. I believe it's -- no, he does not have a passport.

THE COURT: Okay. He will not apply for a passport. And he is restricted to reside any traveling in the Western District of Tennessee.

Mr. Ferguson, if Mr. Young seeks to travel outside of West Tennessee, he will need to notify the

government as well at the Court and to get permission 1 2 from the Court. 3 MR. FERGUSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: To avoid all contact directly 4 5 or indirectly with any person who is or may be a victim 6 or witness in the investigation of prosecution. 7 He will comply with any directions from 8 pre-trial services, as far as any medical or psychiatric 9 treatment. 10 No use of any controlled substances that 11 are not lawfully prescribed to him. No use of any street drugs, marijuana or 12 13 anything along those lines. 14 No excessive use of alcohol. 15 I do have a little concern with some of 16 the prior battery and domestic violence. 17 MR. FERGUSON: All --18 THE COURT: I know they've been pre-trial 19 diversion and --20 MR. FERGUSON: The other one was dismissed 21 and --22 THE COURT: -- dismissed. However, the 23 pre-trial services does indicate that Mr. Young possess 2.4 as firearm. Is that correct? 25 MR. FERGUSON: He does. Your Honor, he

34
has, or would have access to it. It needs to be his
father is here. And if the Court is so inclined, I think
his father should be the one since they don't live
together, his father should he should divest himself
of it. He shouldn't be holding on to it.
I'm assuming the father you would be
okay with that?
THE DEFENDANT: Sure.
MR. FERGUSON: And then with the
understanding that he's not to have access to it.
THE COURT: Because I don't want Mr. Young
to have access or possess any firearms.
MR. FERGUSON: I would agree.
THE COURT: Is there anything else from
pre-trial services?
I am going to place a \$5,000 unsecured
bond on him.
MR. FERGUSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: We are working on some
paperwork.
MR. FERGUSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: While we are waiting on that
paperwork, we can go ahead and arraign Mr. Young.
MR. FERGUSON: Yes, sir. We would
(inaudible) we would enter a plea of not guilty.

1.10	35
1	Mr. Young is (inaudible).
2	THE COURT: I will enter a not guilty plea
3	on Mr. Young's behalf.
4	The next court appearance will be set by
5	Judge Breen in this matter. Mr. Ferguson will have 30
6	days in which to file any pre-trial motions.
7	MR. FERGUSON: Thank you, Your Honor. May
8	I be excused?
9	THE COURT: Wait just a minute. We are
10	working on the paperwork.
11	MR. FERGUSON: Sure.
12	THE COURT: It's almost done.
13	(Pause in Proceedings.)
14	THE COURT: Mr. Young, I'm going to remand
15	you to the custody of the United States Marshal Service
16	and they will process you.
17	Thank you, Mr. Ferguson.
18	MR. FERGUSON: Thank you, sir. Very nice
19	to meet you.
20	(End of Proceedings.)
21	(End of Requested Material.)
22	
23	
24	
25	